

# **Proton Radiography Primer**





Frank Merrill, LANL and the pRad collaboration







## Early Proton Radiography

A. M. Koehler, et al. Science 160, 303 (1968)





Fig. 1. Proton radiograph of aluminum absorber 7 cm in diameter and 18 g/cm<sup>2</sup> thick, with an additional thickness of 0.035g/cm<sup>2</sup> aluminum foil, cut in the shape of a pennant, inserted at a depth of 9 g/cm<sup>2</sup>. The addition of 0.2 percent to the total thickness produces a substantially darker area on the film.

Fig. 2. Proton flux as a function of depth in aluminum. The steeply falling portion of the curve near 18 g/cm<sup>9</sup> is used to obtain the high contrast of Fig. 1.

#### Marginal Range Radiography

- Reduce proton beam energy to near end of range.
- Use steep portion of transmission curve to enhance sensitivity to areal density variations.
- Coulomb scattering at low energy results in poor resolution >1.5 mm.
- Contrast generated through proton absorption.

J. A. Cookson Naturwissenschaften 61, 184-191 (1974)



Fig. 6a and b. Radiographs of leaves by a) marginal range radiography with 196  $\rm mg/cm^2$  of extra Al absorber, and b) scattering radiography with leaf sandwiched between two 6.9  $\rm mg/cm^2$  Al layers and 14 mm from the film



#### Scattering Radiography

- Edge detection only
- · Limited to thin objects
- Contrast generated through position dependent scattering





## LANL Transmission Radiography (1995)

188 MeV secondary proton beamline at LANSCE



## Magnetic Imaging Lens



**NIS**A

LA-UR 08-07298

Los Alamos

## Multiple Coulomb Scattering

![](_page_6_Figure_1.jpeg)

### Contrast from Multiple Coulomb Scattering

![](_page_7_Figure_1.jpeg)

## Nuclear Interactions

![](_page_8_Figure_1.jpeg)

The "true" nuclear interactions are more complicated than this simple assumption and these interactions are reasonably well understood. This can all be simulated, but it is typically not worth the effort for designing small scale experiments.

![](_page_8_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Picture_5.jpeg)

# **Transmission Calculation**

#### Nuclear removal processes:

- $\lambda_c$  nuclear collision length:
  - areal density

![](_page_9_Figure_4.jpeg)

<u>Multiple Coulomb Scattering with collimation:</u>

- $\theta_0$  scattering angle (radians)

- $\beta$  relativistic velocity

![](_page_9_Figure_11.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Figure_15.jpeg)

## A Useful Table

#### 6. ATOMIC AND NUCLEAR PROPERTIES OF MATERIALS

Table 6.1 Abridged from pdg.lbl.gov/AtomicNuclearProperties by D. E. Groom (2007). See web pages for more detail about entries in this table including chemical formulae, and for several hundred other entries. Quantities in parentheses are for NTP (20° C and 1 atm), and square brackets indicate quantities evaluated at STP. Boiling points are at 1 atm. Refractive indices n are evaluated at the sodium D line blend (589.2 nm); values  $\gg$ 1 in brackets are for  $(n - 1) \times 10^6$  (gases).

Material	Z	Α	$\langle Z/A \rangle$	Nucl.coll.	Nucl.inter.	Rad.len.	$dE/dx _{\rm m}$	in Density	Melting	Boiling	Refract.
				length $\lambda_T$	length $\lambda_I$	$X_0$	{ MeV	{g cm <sup>-3</sup> }	point	point	index
				$\{g \ cm^{-2}\}$	$\{g \ cm^{-2}\}$	$\{g \ cm^{-2}\}$	g <sup>-1</sup> cm <sup>2</sup>	$\{ (\{g\ell^{-1}\}) \}$	(K)	(K)	(@ Na D)
$H_2$	1	1.00794(7)	0.99212	42.8	52.0	63.04	(4.103)	0.071(0.084)	13.81	20.28	1.11[132.]
D <sub>2</sub>	1	2.01410177803(8)	0.49650	51.3	71.8	125.97	(2.053)	0.169(0.168)	18.7	23.65	1.11[138.]
He	2	4.002602(2)	0.49967	51.8	71.0	94.32	(1.937)	0.125(0.166)		4.220	1.02[35.0]
Li	3	6.941(2)	0.43221	52.2	71.3	82.78	1.639	0.534	453.6	1615.	
Be	4	9.012182(3)	0.44384	55.3	77.8	65.19	1.595	1.848	1560.	2744.	
C diamond	6	12.0107(8)	0.49955	59.2	85.8	42.70	1.725	3.520			2.42
C graphite	6	12.0107(8)	0.49955	59.2	85.8	42.70	1.742	2.210			
N <sub>2</sub>	7	14.0067(2)	0.49976	61.1	89.7	37.99	(1.825)	0.807(1.165)	63.15	77.29	1.20[298.]
O2	8	15.9994(3)	0.50002	61.3	90.2	34.24	(1.801)	1.141(1.332)	54.36	90.20	1.22[271.]
F <sub>2</sub>	9	18.9984032(5)	0.47372	65.0	97.4	32.93	(1.676)	1.507(1.580)	53.53	85.03	[195.]
Ne	10	20.1797(6)	0.49555	65.7	99.0	28.93	(1.724)	1.204(0.839)	24.56	27.07	1.09[67.1]
Al	13	26.9815386(8)	0.48181	69.7	107.2	24.01	1.615	2.699	933.5	2792.	
Si	14	28.0855(3)	0.49848	70.2	108.4	21.82	1.664	2.329	1687.	3538.	3.95
Cl <sub>2</sub>	17	35.453(2)	0.47951	73.8	115.7	19.28	(1.630)	1.574(2.980)	171.6	239.1	[773.]
Ar	18	39.948(1)	0.45059	75.7	119.7	19.55	(1.519)	1.396(1.662)	83.81	87.26	1.23[281.]
Ti	22	47.867(1)	0.45961	78.8	126.2	16.16	1.477	4.540	1941.	3560.	
Fe	26	55.845(2)	0.46557	81.7	132.1	13.84	1.451	7.874	1811.	3134.	
Cu	29	63.546(3)	0.45636	84.2	137.3	12.86	1.403	8.960	1358.	2835.	
Ge	32	72.64(1)	0.44053	86.9	143.0	12.25	1.370	5.323	1211.	3106.	
Su	50	118.710(7)	0.42119	98.2	166.7	8.82	1.263	7.310	505.1	2875.	
Xe	54	131.293(6)	0.41129	100.8	172.1	8.48	(1.255)	2.953(5.483)	161.4	165.1	1.39[701.]
W	74	183.84(1)	0.40252	110.4	191.9	6.76	1.145	19.300	3695.	5828.	
Pt	78	195.084(9)	0.39983	112.2	195.7	6.54	1.128	21.450	2042.	4098.	
Au	79	196.966569(4)	0.40108	112.5	196.3	6.46	1.134	19.320	1337.	3129.	
РЬ	82	207.2(1)	0.39575	114.1	199.6	6.37	1.122	11.350	600.6	2022.	
U	92	[238.02891(3)]	0.38651	118.6	209.0	6.00	1.081	18.950	1408.	4404.	

![](_page_10_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Picture_6.jpeg)

Los Alamos

## Accurate Areal Density Reconstructions

![](_page_11_Figure_1.jpeg)

 $T = e^{-\left(\frac{x}{\lambda_c} + \left(\frac{\theta_c p\beta}{14.1 \, MeV}\right)^2 \frac{x_o}{2(x+x_f)}\right)}$ 

Adjust parameters to fit transmission data:

• $\lambda_c$  - nuclear collision length

• $X_f$  – fixed radiation length (windows, beam angular spread)

Build a step wedge and adjust parameters to fit measured data

![](_page_11_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_8.jpeg)

## **Radiographic Analysis**

![](_page_12_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Picture_2.jpeg)

LA-UR 08-07298

NIS

# **Density Reconstruction**

Invert to calculate Areal Density

$$T = e^{-\frac{x}{\lambda}} \left( 1 - e^{-\left(\frac{\theta_c p\beta}{14.1 \, MeV}\right)^2 \frac{x_o}{2x}} \right)$$

![](_page_13_Picture_3.jpeg)

Areal Density (g/cm<sup>2</sup>)

Use assumption of cylindrical symmetry to determine volume density (Abel inversion)

![](_page_13_Picture_6.jpeg)

Volume Density (g/cm<sup>3</sup>)

![](_page_13_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_9.jpeg)

# Resolution of Proton Radiography

- 1. **Object scattering** introduced as the protons are scattered while traversing the object.
- 2. Chromatic aberrations- introduced as the protons pass through the magnetic lens imaging system.
- **3. Detector blur** introduced as the proton interacts with the proton-to-light converter and as the light is gated and collected with a camera system.

Assume detector

![](_page_14_Figure_4.jpeg)

### Bethe-Bloch Energy Loss for 800 MeV Protons

![](_page_15_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Figure_2.jpeg)

copper

Alamos

### **Correcting Second Order Chromatic Aberrations**

![](_page_16_Figure_1.jpeg)

## 800 MeV x3 Magnifying Imaging Lens

![](_page_17_Figure_1.jpeg)

## **Temporal Resolution**

![](_page_18_Figure_1.jpeg)

### 800 MeV pRad Facility at LANSCE

![](_page_19_Picture_1.jpeg)

### 800 MeV pRad Facility at LANSCE

#### **Chromatic Aberration and Resolution**

X3 Magnifier

![](_page_20_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_4.jpeg)

- 12 inch lens
- Station 1: 178 μm
- <u>Station 2: 280 μm</u>
- Gaussian blur function.
- 120 mm field of view

![](_page_20_Figure_10.jpeg)

- 4 inch lens
- <u>Station 1: 65 μm</u>
- Gaussian blur function.
- 44 mm field of view

![](_page_20_Figure_15.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_16.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Figure_17.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_18.jpeg)

- 1 inch lens
- Station 1: 30 μm
- Gaussian blur function.
- 17 mm field of view

![](_page_20_Picture_23.jpeg)

### Proton Radiography Set-up at ITEP-TWAC Facility

![](_page_21_Figure_1.jpeg)

Proton radiography system with optical transformation factor "-8" - "Proton microscope"

### Proton Radiography Set-up at ITEP-TWAC Facility

E = 800 MeV Magnification X = 7.82 Field of view < 10mm Spatial resolution  $\sigma \sim 50$ um

Magnification X = 3.92 Field of view < 22 mm Spatial resolution  $\sigma \sim 60$ um

Magnification X = 1.0 Field of view < 40 mm Spatial resolution  $\sigma \sim 300$ um

Beam structure – 4 bunches (FWHM=70ns) in 1 us

Protective Target Chamber:

- up to 80 g TNT
- Pumped down to 10<sup>-3</sup> Torr
- Active ventilation system
- Fiber for optical diagnostics (VISAR)

![](_page_22_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_11.jpeg)

# Summary

Proton radiography (PR) is a novel technique for probing the interior of thick and dense objects in dynamic experiments by monoenergetic beams of fast protons from accelerator.

Special system of magnetic lenses is used for imaging and aberrations correction.

Density measurements with sub-percent accuracy; few micrometers spatial resolution over several centimeters-wide field of view;

nanosecond-scale temporal resolution.

PR is one of the most valuable and versatile single technique available to interrogate the hydrodynamic and equation of state aspects of high energy density physics (HED) and material research.

### Dynamic Range of 800 MeV Proton Radigraphy

![](_page_25_Figure_1.jpeg)

• 800 MeV proton radiography ranges from 1 g/cm<sup>2</sup> up to 70 g/cm<sup>2</sup> of iron

![](_page_25_Picture_3.jpeg)

os Alamos

## When is an object too thick?

![](_page_26_Figure_1.jpeg)

Areal density contours of constant transmission as a function of atomic number.

10% is near the lower limit of reasonable transmission.

![](_page_26_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_5.jpeg)

# Full LANSCE System

![](_page_27_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Diffuser sets illumination pattern at object.
- Matching quads establish position-angle correlation
- CL-0 has a 9.0 mRad collimator
- CL-1 and CL-2 can independently have 5-20 mrad collimators
- Lens 0 used for beam monitoring
- IL-1 has seven single-shot camera systems
- IL-2 has five single-shot camera systems and a 9-frame framing camera
- 21 images per dynamic event at up to 21 different times.

![](_page_27_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Figure_0.jpeg)

# Chromatic Blur—→Limbing

#### Limb: To outline in clear sharp detail

Like phase-contrast radiography:

- Useful to enhance edges
- Problem for density reconstruction

Resolution proportional to energy offset

$$\sigma = \theta l_c \frac{E - E_f}{E_f}$$

![](_page_29_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Figure_8.jpeg)

# **Proton Radiography Primer**

![](_page_30_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_2.jpeg)

Frank Merrill, LANL and the pRad collaboration

![](_page_30_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_5.jpeg)

## pRad Collaboration

#### **Bechtel Nevada**

Stuart Baker, Alfred Meidinger, Richard Thompson, Josh Tybo

DE-2

Robert Hixson, Paulo Rigg, Darcie Dennis-Kohler

HX-3

Joe Bainbridge, Stephen Dennison, Eric Ferm, Robert Lopez, Mark Marr-Lyon, Carlos Montoya, Paul Rightley Wendy McNeil

#### LANSCE-1

Andrew Jason, Barbara Blind, Charles Mottershead

LANSCE-6

Leo Bittecker, Rodney McCrady, Chandra Pillai

P-23

William Buttler, David D. Clark, David Holtkamp, Nick King, Kris Kwiatkowski, Kevin Morley, Russ Olson, Paul Nedrow

P-25

Jeffrey Bacon, Bethany Brooks, Camilo Espinoza, Gary Hogan, Brian Hollander, Julian Lopez, Fesseha Mariam, Frank Merrill, Christopher Morris, Matthew Murray, Alexander Saunders, Richard Schirato, Larry Schultz, Cynthia Schwartz, Dale Tupa

S-7

Rodger Liljestrand

X-4

Langdon Bennett, John David Becker, Maria Rightley, Stephen Sterbenz

![](_page_31_Picture_19.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_20.jpeg)